

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SUSAN MORASCI,)	CASE NO. CV 10-00448 RZ
)	
12 Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
13 vs.)	ACTION SHOULD NOT BE
)	DISMISSED FOR LACK OF SUBJECT
14 PFIZER, INC., ET AL.,)	MATTER JURISDICTION
)	
15 Defendants.)	
_____)	

16

17 Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, and if subject matter
18 jurisdiction does not affirmatively appear, federal courts are presumed to lack jurisdiction.
19 *National Treasury Employees Union v. Federal Labor Relations Authority*, 112 F.3d 402,
20 403 (9th Cir. 1997), *citing General Atomic Co. v. United Nuclear Corp.*, 655 F.2d 968,
21 968-69 (9th Cir. 1981). Corporations have dual citizenship; they are citizens of the state
22 in which they are incorporated, and they also are citizens of the state in which they have
23 their principal place of business, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1); and both states of citizenship
24 must be alleged in the Complaint. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Pfizer is “a Delaware
25 corporation” with its principal place of business in New York. The allegation that a
26 corporation is “a Delaware corporation” is not sufficient to allege the state in which the
27 corporation is incorporated. *Fifty Associates v. Prudential*, 446 F.2d 1187 (9th Cir. 1970).
28

1 Accordingly, the dual citizenship of this defendant does not affirmatively appear from the
2 pleadings.

3 It is therefore ordered that Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, not later than
4 February 3, 2010, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter
5 jurisdiction. The filing of an amended complaint within that time, correcting the
6 jurisdictional defect, shall discharge this Order to Show Cause.

7
8 DATED: January 25, 2010

9
10 
11 _____
12 RALPH ZAREFSKY
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28